NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 12 2005

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

RAMON MORENO-OROZCO, aka Ramon Mata, aka Ramon Orozco-Moreno,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 04-10519

D.C. No. CR-03-01088-MHM

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona Mary H. Murguia, Distict Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 5, 2005**

Before: GOODWIN, W. FLETCHER and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Ramon Moreno-Orozco appeals from his conviction and 40-month sentence for illegal reentry after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a).

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for Moreno-Orozco has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, and a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. Moreno-Orozco has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Because our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 82-83 (1988), indicates that Moreno-Orozco knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to appeal and was sentenced within the terms of the plea agreement, we enforce the waiver and dismiss the appeal. *See United States v. Nguyen*, 235 F.3d 1179, 1182 (9th Cir. 2000) (stating that an appeal waiver is valid when it is entered knowingly and voluntarily); *see also United States v. Cardenas*, 405 F.3d 1046, 1048 (9th Cir. 2005) (noting that the changes in sentencing law imposed by *United States v. Booker*, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), did not render waiver of appeal involuntary and unknowing).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the appeal is **DISMISSED**.